Data Validation Checklist Inorganic Analyses

Project:	35 TH Avenue Superfund Site	Project No:	<u>15268508.20000</u>
Laboratory:	TestAmerica – Savannah, GA ¹	Job ID.:	680-85785-5
Method:	SW-846 6010C, 7471A, and 7196A	Associated Sample	les: Refer to Attachment A (Sample Summary)
Matrix:	Soil	Samples Collecte	
Reviewer:	Nicole Lancaster	Date:	02/18/2013
Concurrence ² :	Martha Meyers-Lee	Date:	02/26/2013

	D 1 0 4	3 7	N T	N T/A		151
1.	Review Questions Were sample preservation requirements met? If pH of aqueous sample >2 and was not adjusted by laboratory prior to analysis, J- flag positive results and R- flag non-detect results.	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
2.	Were all COC records signed and integrity seals intact, indicating that COC was maintained for all samples?	✓				
3.	Were there any problems noted in laboratory data package concerning condition of samples upon receipt?		√			
4.	Do any soil/sediment samples contain more than 50% water? If yes, then results are to be reported on a wet-weight basis.		√			
5.	Have any technical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been exceeded? (Hg: ≤28 days, other metals: ≤6 months; Cr+6: ≤24 hours from extraction). If not, then J- flag positive results and R- flag non-detect aqueous results.		✓			
6.	Were results for all project-specified target analytes reported?	✓				
7.	Were project-specified Reporting Limits achieved for undiluted sample analyses?		✓		The MDL (0.59 mg/Kg) for arsenic is greater than the Resident Soil RSL (0.39 mg/Kg). A RSL does not exist for total chromium; however, the total chromium MDL (0.5 mg/Kg) is greater than the hexavalent chromium Resident Soil RSL (0.29 mg/Kg).	
8.	Were method blank (MB) prepared at the appropriate frequency (one per 20 samples, batch, matrix, and level)?	✓				
9.	Was a calibration blank (ICB/CCB) analyzed at the beginning, after every 10 th sample, and at the end of each analytical run?	✓				
10.	Were target analytes detected in the method and/or calibration blanks?	✓			Target analytes (i.e., arsenic) were detected at concentrations below the reporting limit during the	

 $^{^1}$ SW-846 7471A analysis subcontracted to TestAmerica of Tampa, FL 2 Independent technical reviewer URS Group, Inc. Page 1 of 8

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
				EPA 200.7 analysis of calibration blanks.	
11. Were target analytes reported in equipment/rinsate blanks analyses above the DL?		~		According to the QAPP, a rinsate blank is to be collected after each decontamination event, which occurs once per week per the client. A rinsate blank (121112-RB-Bowls + Spoons (680-85731-47)) was collected for the week of December 10, 2012. Target analytes were not detected during the EPA Methods 200.7 and 245.1 analyses of rinsate blank 121112-RB-Bowls + Spoons (680-85731-47), which was collected on 12/11/12 and results reported under Job 680-85731-4. The rinsate blank was not analyzed for hexavalent chromium.	
12. Were contaminants detected in samples below the blank contamination action level? ○ If blank result > RL, • Flag sample results ≤ RL with a U • Flag positive sample results > RL and ≤10x blank result, as J+ positive results ○ If blank result ≤RL, • Flag sample results ≤ RL with a U • Flag positive sample results > RL and ≤10x blank result, as J+ positive results		√		Qualification of data due to the presence of calibration blank contamination is not warranted, as all blank results were significantly less than that detected in samples.	
13. Are there negative laboratory blank results with the absolute value ≤RL? If yes, then flag positive and non-detect sample results that are < 10x absolute blank value as J- and UJ, respectively.		✓			
14. Was a field duplicate analyzed?		✓			
15. Was precision deemed acceptable as defined by the project plans?			√		
 16. Were initial and continuing calibration standards analyzed at the lab/project-specified frequency for each instrument? 6010C: ICAL: Blank and one standard ICV initially, and CCV every 10th sample and at the end of the analytical run Lower Limit of Quantitation Check Sample (CRI) to be analyzed after establishing lower laboratory reporting limits and as needed 7471A: ICAL: Blank and five standards 	~			 6010C: 12/20/12. One blank and one standard initially. ICV initially, and CCV every 10 samples and at end of run. CRI after initial calibration blank analysis. 7471A: 12/17/12. 6-Point ICAL. ICV initially, CCV every 10 samples and at end of run. CRI after initial calibration blank analysis. 7196A: 10/01/12. 7-Point ICAL 12/20/12. ICV initially, CCV every 10 	

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
 ICV initially, and CCV every 10th sample and at the end of the analytical run 7196A: ICAL: Blank and minimum of five standards ICV initially, and CCV every 10th sample (15th per Method) and at the end of the analytical run Were these results within lab/project specifications? 	√			 samples and at end of run Mercury correlation coefficients (raw data): 	
 6010C ICV/CCV (Criteria: 90-110%R): If %R <75, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects If 75-89%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-detects If 111-125%R, then J flag positive results If >125%R, then J+ flag positive results If >160%R, then R flag positive results CRI (Method: 70-130%R, Laboratory: 50-150%R; Project: 50-150%R for Sb, Pb, and Tl, and 70-130%R for all other analytes): If CRI %R <50 (<30% for Sb, Pb, TL), then R flag results ≤ 2x RL and J flag positive results >2x RL If CRI %R 50-69% (30-49% for Sb, Pb, TL), then J- and UJ flag positive results <2x RL and ND, respectively If CRI %R >130% and ≤180% (>150%, but ≤200% for Sb, Pb, TL), then J+ flag positive results <2x RL If CRI %R >180% (>200% for Sb, Pb, TL), then R flag positive results 				ICAL of 12/14/12, 0.99976 (page 370) • Hexavalent chromium correlation coefficient (raw data): ICAL of 10/01/12, 0.999978 (page 395)	
 7471A ICV/CCV (Criteria: 80-120%R): If correlation coefficients <0.995, then J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results. If %R <65, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects If 65-79%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-detects If 121-135%R, then J flag positive results If >135%R, then J+ flag positive results If >170%R, then R flag positive results CRI (Method: Not required, Laboratory: 50-150%R, Project: 70-130%R): If CRI %R <50, then R flag results ≤ 2x RL and J flag positive results >2x RL If CRI %R 50-69%, then J- and UJ flag positive results 					

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
<2x RL and ND, respectively If CRI %R >130% and ≤180%, then J+ flag positive results <2x RL If CRI %R >180%, then R flag positive result ○ 7196A: ICV/CCV (Criteria: 90-110%R): If correlation coefficients <0.995, then J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results. If %R <65, then J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects If 65-90%R, then J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-detects If 110-135%R, then J flag positive results 	res	No	IV/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	riag
 If >135%R, then J+ flag positive results If >170%R, then R flag positive results 					
18. Was the interference check sample (ICS) analyzed at the	√				
beginning of each ICP analytical run? 19. Are ICS recoveries within 80-120% of the true value? If not, qualify data as follows when native Al, Fe, Ca, and Mg sample concentrations are equal to or greater than the ICS spiking level: o If >120%R (or >true value plus 2x CRQL), J+ flag positive results o If 50-79%R (or less than true value – 2x the CRQL), J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-detects o If <50%R, J- flag positive results and R-flag non-detects	✓ ✓				
20. Was a LCS analyzed for each preparation batch (one per 20 samples per matrix and level)?	•				
 21. Did LCS recoveries meet method/laboratory/project (80-120%R) specifications? Soil: LCS result > Upper control limit (UCL): J+ flag positive results LCS result < Lower control limit (LCL): J- flag positive results and UJ flag non-detects Aqueous: If <50%R, then J- and R flag positive and ND results, respectively If 50-LCL%R, J- and UJ flag positive and ND results, respectively >UCL: J+ Flag positive results >150%R: R Flag results 	✓				

	X 7	N.T.	DT/A		151
Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
22. Was the RPD between LCS and LCSD results within method/laboratory /project control limits (≤20%RPD)? If not, J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively			ľ	LCS only	
23. Was a Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) analyzed once per preparation batch?	✓				
24. Is the MS and MSD parent sample a project-specific sample?	~	~		 6010C: Prep Batch 260226: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS), MS/MSD 680-85785-29 (CV0511AB-GS), MS/MSD 680-85785-52 (CV0511S-CS), MS/MSD Prep Batch 260035: 680-85731-21 (Batch sample), MS/MSD. Lab sample 680-85731-21 is a project-specific sample (CV0705B-CS) and results were reported under Job ID 680-85731-4. 7471A, Prep Batch 132590: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS), MS/MSD 680-85785-52 (CV0511AB-GS), MS/MSD 680-85785-52 (CV0511S-CS), MS/MSD 7196A, Prep Batch 260415: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS), MS 	
25. Was a post-digestion spike (PDS) analysis conducted when MS and/or MSD results did not meet control limits (Note: PDS is not required for silver, mercury, or hexavalent chromium)?	√			 6010C: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS) 680-85731-21 (Batch sample). Lab sample 680-85731-21 is a project-specific sample (CV0705B-CS) and results were reported under Job ID 680-85731-4. 7196A: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS) 	
 26. For all analytes with sample concentration < 4 x spike concentration, are spike recoveries within method (6010C: 75-125%R MS/MSD and 80-120%R PDS; 7471A: 80-120%R MS/MSD; 7196A: 85-115%R MS), laboratory (MS, MSD, and PDS: 75-125%R for 6010C/7471 (as applicable) and 80-120%R for 7196), and project (as noted below) specifications? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. If not, 6010C: If MS %R <30 and PDS %R <75, then J- and R Flag positive and ND results, respectively 		•		HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8): • 6010C: ○ Arsenic MS and MSD %R is 128 and 179 (75-125), respectively. PDS recovery met control limits. Qualification of data is not warranted, because the recovery of the MS met control limits. ○ Barium MS and MSD %R is 59 and 69 (75-125), respectively. PDS recovery met control limits. An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample	UJ

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
 If MS %R <30 and PDS %R >75, then J flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results If MS and MSD %R 30-74 and PDS%R ≥75, then J flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results If MS and MSD %R 30-74 and PDS%R ≥75, then J flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results If MS, MSD, and PDS %R >125, J+ flag positive results If MS and MSD %R >125 and PDS %R ≤125, then J flag positive results If MS and MSD %R <30 and no PDS, then J- flag positive and R-flag non-detect results, respectively If MS and MSD %R >125 and no PDS, then J- and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively If MS and MSD %R >125 and no PDS, then J+ flag positive results 7471A/7196: If MS %R <30, then J- and R Flag positive and ND results, respectively If MS and MSD %R 30-LCL, then J- flag positive and UJ flag non-detect results If MS and MSD %R >UCL, then J+ flag positive results 				concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level. Chromium MS and MSD %R is 95 and 464%R (75-125), respectively. PDS recovery met control limits. An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level. Lead MS and MSD %R is -97 and 605%R (75-125), respectively. PDS recovery met control limits. An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level. 7196A: Hexavalent chromium @ 0%R (80-120). PDS recovery met control limits. UJ Flag ND result CV0511AB-GS (680-85785-29), 6010C: Barium MS and MSD %R is 20 and 123 (75-125), respectively. Chromium MS and MSD %R is 94 and 126%R (75-125), respectively. Lead MS and MSD %R is -17 and 36%R (75-125), respectively. A PDS analysis was not conducted. An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level for barium, chromium, and lead. CV0511S-CS (680-85785-52), 6010C: Barium MS and MSD %R is 92 and 131 (75-125), respectively. A PDS analysis was not conducted. Qualification of data is not warranted, because the recovery of the MS met control limits. Lead MS and MSD %R is 88 and 135%R (75-125), respectively. A PDS analysis was not	

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
				conducted. An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level.	
 27. Were laboratory/project (≤20%RPD) criteria met for precision during the MS and MSD analysis? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. ○ If RPD >20%, J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results. 		~		HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8), 6010C: ○ Chromium @ 35 %RPD (≤20) ○ Lead @ 39 %RPD (≤20) An evaluation of interference is not possible, because the native sample concentration is greater than four times the MS/MSD spiking level for chromium and lead. CV0511AB-GS (680-85785-29), 6010C: Selenium @ 24 %RPD (≤20). J Flag	J
28. Was a serial dilution conducted for 6010C/EPA 200.7?	✓			 6010C: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS) 680-85731-21 (Batch sample). Lab sample 680-85731-21 is a project-specific sample (CV0705B-CS) and results were reported under Job ID 680-85731-4. 7471A: 680-85785-8 (HP0019C-CS) 	
29. Is the serial dilution parent sample a project-specific sample?	✓	✓			
 30. Is the percent difference between the serially diluted result and undiluted result less 10% (for those analytes with native concentrations greater than 50x the DL)? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. If %D >10, J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively. 		✓		HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8), 6010C: Lead @11%D (\leq 10). Native sample result (89 mg/Kg) is greater than the 50x MDL (50x 0.53 mg/Kg = 26.5 mg/Kg); therefore, J flag result	1
31. Was a laboratory duplicate analyzed?	√			7196A: 680-85731-21 (Batch sample). Lab sample 680-85731-21 is a project-specific sample (CV0705B-CS) and results were reported under Job ID 680-85731-4.	
32. Was the lab duplicate analysis conducted on a project-specific sample?		✓			
 33. Were criteria for laboratory/project precision met? Only QC results for project samples are evaluated. If RPD values >20% (35% for soil/sediment) or absolute difference > RL (2x RL for soil/sediment), then J and UJ flag positive and non-detect results, respectively 			✓		

Job ID.: 680-85785-5

Data Validation Checklist (Continued)

Review Questions	Yes	No	N/A	Samples (Analytes) Affected/Comments	Flag
34. Were lab comments included in report? If yes, summarize contents or attach a copy of the narrative.	√			Refer to Attachment B (Case Narrative)	

Comments: The data validation was conducted in accordance with the *Non-Industrial Use Property Sampling Event QAPP for the 35th Avenue Removal Site, Birmingham, Alabama, Revision 1* (OTIE, October 2012). The data review process was modeled after the *USEPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Inorganic Data Review* (EPA 540-R-04-004, October 2004). Sample results have been qualified based on the results of the data review process (**Attachment C**). Criteria for acceptability of data were based upon available site information, analytical method requirements, guidance documents, and professional judgment

DV Flag Definitions:

- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the associated level; blank contamination may exist.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

ATTACHMENT A SAMPLE SUMMARY

COVER PAGE METALS

Lab Name:	TestAmerica Savannah	Job Number: 680-85785-5
SDG No.:	68085785-5	
Project:	35th Avenue Superfund Site	
	Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID
	HP0019C-CS	680-85785-8
	HP0241A-CS-SP	680-85785-10
	CV0507A-CS-SP	680-85785-15
	CV0511AB-GS	680-85785-29
	CV0511S-CS	680-85785-52
	HP0019C-CS (sieve)	680-85785-65
	HP0241A-CS-SP (sieve)	680-85785-66
	CV0507A-CS-SP (sieve)	680-85785-67
	CV0511AB-GS (sieve)	680-85785-68
	CV0511S-CS (sieve)	680-85785-69

Comments:

ATTACHMENT B CASE NARRATIVE

CASE NARRATIVE

Client: Oneida Total Integrated Enterprises LLC

Project: 35th Avenue Superfund Site

Report Number: 680-85785-5

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

RECEIPT

The samples were received on 12/14/2012; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and on ice. The temperatures of the 2 coolers at receipt time were 5.2° C and 5.6° C.

PESTICIDES AND PCBS

Sample CV0705C-GS (680-85785-24) was analyzed for Pesticides and PCBs in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8081B_8082A. The samples were prepared on 12/18/2012 and analyzed on 12/21/2012.

This method incorporates 2nd column confirmation. Corrective action is not taken for surrogate/spike compounds unless results from both columns are unacceptable. Results outside criteria are qualified.

DCB Decachlorobiphenyl recovered outside the surrogate recovery criteria for CV0705C-GS (680-85785-24).

No difficulties were encountered during the Pesticides and PCBs analysis.

All quality control parameters were within the acceptance limits.

METALS (ICP)

Samples HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8), HP0241A-CS-SP (680-85785-10), CV0507A-CS-SP (680-85785-15), CV0511AB-GS (680-85785-29), CV0511S-CS (680-85785-52), HP0019C-CS (sieve) (680-85785-65), HP0241A-CS-SP (sieve) (680-85785-66), CV0507A-CS-SP (sieve) (680-85785-67), CV0511AB-GS (sieve) (680-85785-68) and CV0511S-CS (sieve) (680-85785-69) were analyzed for Metals (ICP) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 6010C. The samples were prepared on 12/17/2012 and 12/18/2012 and analyzed on 12/21/2012.

Several analytes recovered outside the recovery criteria for the MS/MSD of sample HP0019C-CSMSD (680-85785-8) in batch 680-260674, CV0511AB-GS (680-85785-29) in batch 680-260674, and CV0511S-CSMSD (680-85785-52) in batch 680-260674.

Refer to the QC report for details.

No other difficulties were encountered during the metals analyses.

All other quality control parameters were within the acceptance limits.

TOTAL MERCURY

Samples HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8), HP0241A-CS-SP (680-85785-10), CV0507A-CS-SP (680-85785-15), CV0511AB-GS (680-85785-29), CV0511S-CS (680-85785-52), HP0019C-CS (sieve) (680-85785-65), HP0241A-CS-SP (sieve) (680-85785-66), CV0507A-CS-SP (sieve) (680-85785-67), CV0511AB-GS (sieve) (680-85785-68) and CV0511S-CS (sieve) (680-85785-69) were analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 7471A. The samples were prepared and analyzed on 12/17/2012.

No difficulties were encountered during the mercury analyses.

All quality control parameters were within the acceptance limits.

HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM

Samples HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8) and HP0019C-CS (sieve) (680-85785-65) were analyzed for hexavalent chromium in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 3060A/7196A. The samples were prepared on 12/19/2012 and analyzed on 12/20/2012.

Chromium, hexavalent recovered outside the recovery criteria low for the MS of sample HP0019C-CSMS (680-85785-8) in batch 680-260599.

Refer to the QC report for details.

Sample HP0019C-CS (680-85785-8)[10X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

ATTACHMENT C QUALIFIED SAMPLE RESULTS

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-8

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:12

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 78.9

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	36	2.5	0.73	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	150	1.2	0.37	mg/Kg			1	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	1.5	0.62	0.12	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	92	1.2	0.62	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	89	1.2	0.66	mg/Kg	J		1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	3.2	3.1	1.2	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.2	1.2	0.12	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: HP0241A-CS-SP Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-10

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:39

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 79.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	29	2.2	0.66	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	210	1.1	0.34	mg/Kg			1	1
7440-43-9	Cadmium	2.2	0.56	0.11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	57	1.1	0.56	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	370	1.1	0.59	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	4.5	2.8	1.1	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.1	1.1	0.11	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0507A-CS-SP Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-15

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 10:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 81.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	8.7	2.4	0.70	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	68	1.2	0.36	mg/Kg			1	1
7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.60	0.59	0.12	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	20	1.2	0.59	mg/Kg			1	6010C -
7439-92-1	Lead	68	1.2	0.63	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	3.0	3.0	1.2	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.2	1.2	0.11	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0511AB-GS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-29

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:24

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 55.3

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	55	3.4	1.0	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	230	1.7	0.52	mg/Kg			1	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	3.5	0.86	0.17	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	73	1.7	0.86	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	320	1.7	0.91	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	3.0	4.3	1.7	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	0.54	1.7	0.17	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0511S-CS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-52

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 14:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 63.0

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	79	3.0	0.88	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	52	1.5	0.45	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-43-9	Cadmium	1.3	0.74	0.15	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	35	1.5	0.74	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	110	1.5	0.79	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	2.4	3.7	1.5	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.5	1.5	0.14	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-65

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:12

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 87.8

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	33	2.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	160	1.1	0.33	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-43-9	Cadmium	1.6	0.55	0.11	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	89	1.1	0.55	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	140	1.1	0.59	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	2.9	2.8	1.1	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.1	1.1	0.11	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: HP0241A-CS-SP (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-66

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:39

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 76.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	42	2.4	0.72	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	260	1.2	0.37	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-43-9	Cadmium	3.4	0.61	0.12	mg/Kg			1	6010C - 6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	55	1.2	0.61	mg/Kg			1	6010C ·
7439-92-1	Lead	630	1.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	4.4	3.0	1.2	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.2	1.2	0.12	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0507A-CS-SP (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-67

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 10:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 83.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	6.1	2.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	52	1.1	0.33	mg/Kg			1	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	0.50	0.55	0.11	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	17	1.1	0.55	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	53	1.1	0.59	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	1.4	2.8	1.1	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.1	1.1	0.11	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0511AB-GS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-68

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:24

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 67.5

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	46	2.9	0.86	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	190	1.5	0.44	mg/Kg			1	
7440-43-9	Cadmium	2.8	0.73	0.15	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	57	1.5	0.73	mg/Kg			1	6010C 6010C
7439-92-1	Lead	250	1.5	0.78	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7782-49-2	Selenium	2.5	3.7	1.5	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	0.26	1.5	0.14	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: CV0511S-CS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-69

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 14:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 76.1

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7440-38-2	Arsenic	70	2.5	0.72	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-39-3	Barium	52	1.2	0.37	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-43-9	Cadmium	1.0	0.61	0.12	mg/Kg			1	6010C
7440-47-3	Chromium	37	1.2	0.61	mg/Kg			1	6010C - 6010C -
7439-92-1	Lead	96	1.2	0.65	mg/Kg			1	
7782-49-2	Selenium	1.8	3.1	1.2	mg/Kg	J		1	6010C
7440-22-4	Silver	1.2	1.2	0.12	mg/Kg	U		1	6010C

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-8

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:12

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 78.9

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.060	0.038	0.015	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: HP0241A-CS-SP Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-10

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:39

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 79.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.94	0.037	0.015	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0507A-CS-SP Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-15

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 10:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 81.2

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.079	0.035	0.014	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0511AB-GS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-29

Job No.: 680-85785-5 Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method										
Solids: 55.3 CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method	Matrix: Solic	1			Date Sample	ed: 12/12	/2012 1	1:24		
CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method	Reporting Basi	s: DRY			Date Receiv	7ed: 12/1	4/2012	11:51		
	% Solids: 55	.3								
7439-97-6 Mercury 0.18 0.054 0.022 mg/Kg 1 7471A	CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.18	0.054	0.022	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0511S-CS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-52

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 14:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 63.0

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.16	0.048	0.019	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-65

Job No.: 680-85785-5 Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:12 Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51 % Solids: 87.8 CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method 7439-97-6 Mercury 0.064 0.034 0.014 mg/Kg 1 7471A										
% Solids: 87.8 CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method	Matrix: Soli	i		Date Sample	ed: 12/12	/2012 1	1:12			
CAS No. Analyte Result RL MDL Units C Q DIL Method	Reporting Basi	s: DRY			Date Receiv	red: 12/1	14/2012	11:51		
	% Solids: 87	7.8								
7439-97-6 Mercury 0.064 0.034 0.014 mg/Kg 1 7471A	CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
	7439-97-6	Mercury	0.064	0.034	0.014	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: HP0241A-CS-SP (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-66

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:39

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 76.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	1.3	0.038	0.015	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0507A-CS-SP (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-67

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 10:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 83.7

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.061	0.035	0.014	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0511AB-GS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-68

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:24

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 67.5

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.16	0.042	0.017	mg/Kg			1	7471A

Client Sample ID: CV0511S-CS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-69

Lab Name: TestAmerica Tampa Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 14:00

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 76.1

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
7439-97-6	Mercury	0.15	0.038	0.015	mg/Kg			1	7471A

1B-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET GENERAL CHEMISTRY

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-8

Job No.: 680-85785-5 Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

										— ດ
Matrix: Solid				Date Sample	ed: 12/12	/2012 1	1:12			r 2012
Reporting Basis	DRY			Date Receiv	red: 12/1	4/2012	11:51			 Octob
% Solids: 78	. 9									1 (OTIE,
CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method	, Revision
18540-29-9	Chromium, hexavalent	12	12	3.7	mg/Kg	J UJ		10	7196A	abama

1B-IN INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET GENERAL CHEMISTRY

Client Sample ID: HP0019C-CS (sieve) Lab Sample ID: 680-85785-65

Lab Name: TestAmerica Savannah Job No.: 680-85785-5

SDG ID.: 68085785-5

Matrix: Solid Date Sampled: 12/12/2012 11:12

Reporting Basis: DRY Date Received: 12/14/2012 11:51

% Solids: 87.8

CAS No.	Analyte	Result	RL	MDL	Units	С	Q	DIL	Method
18540-29-9	Chromium, hexavalent	1.1	1.1	0.33	mg/Kg	U		1	7196A